Historic, Archive Document

Do not assume content reflects current scientific knowledge, policies, or practices.



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE LIBRARY



BOOK NUMBER

A99,76 F765

PONDEROSA PINE TREE GRADES AND USE FOR APPRAISAL OF TIMBER QUALITY

Ву

I. V. Anderson 1/ March 1955



BASIS OF THE RULES

These rules are based on observation of growth and lumber yield of ponderosa pine through the different life stages of the tree.

During the various stages of growth a ponderosa pine tree develops natural characteristics that affect lumber grades. These characteristics can be used to measure the quality and value of lumber produced by each tree. In the shorter trees of any species of Region 1 the characteristics of the butt (16 foot) log determine quality of the tree, and in longer trees the first two 16-foot logs dominate. Hence much time and effort are saved with no significant inaccuracy by using the tree as a unit. In ponderosa pine it is usually the first 16-foot log that determines the grade.

TREE CHARACTERISTICS AND GRADES

Age of the tree is a primary consideration and position in the stand a secondary one in the development of these tree grades.

Knot characteristics and their relative position or scarcity are probably the most important considerations in determining lumber quality. Sound knots, or live knots, come from live branches while encased or loose knots come from dead branches. Hence the age of a tree and its position in a stand have a great deal to do with the amount of green knotted material produced. Therefore young bull pine trees are usually sound knotted. This is the grade of tree that has been designated as Grade 3. As the young bull pine advances into the teen-age or older bull pine stage some of the lower limbs die because of lack of light and the trunk continues to grow around them. Hence we get black or loose knotted lumber of lower grade from the butt logs of these trees. These trees have been designated Grade 4. As the tree reaches maturity the dead limbs drop off and clear wood is grown on the trunk. When the natural pruning process has been complete for many years we get select lumber producing trees, or Grade 1. Likewise, trees that do not prune themselves up quite completely and have a widely spaced knot arrangement make Grade 2 trees, or shop lumber producing trees when they get into maturity or overmaturity.

Chief, Forest Utilization Service. Additional copies may be obtained from Director, Intermountain Forest and Range Experiment Station, Forest Service, U.S. Department of Agriculture, Ogden, Utah.

Among the mature and overmature trees we have a third tree type which, unlike the select trees, is rather limby, and which, unlike the shop trees, has its dead limb stubs more closely spaced. This is the Grade 5 tree and it produces a mixture of lumber grades. It is the most common tree in mature and overmature stands and from the standpoint of evaluating ponderosa pine timber can be regarded as the average or par tree. Most significant from the standpoint of the method described here is the fact that the average selling value of lumber obtained from a mill study of Grade 5 trees was approximately the same as the regional current mill run price of ponderosa pine lumber.

A fourth category of mature and overmature trees is the defective and wolf type trees which are grouped in Grade 6. This category also contains the crippled trees, probably damaged in earlier years by porcupines, or otherwise decadent and trees that are unquestionable culls on a falling lumber market. Much of the lumber from these trees is in the 4 and 5 common grade.

Tree grades are pictorially presented in Figure 1. Several hundred trees were graded to determine the form depicted in this figure as well as the characteristics of age and size and other characteristics shown in Figure 2.

USE OF THE AVERAGE OR GRADE 5 TREE

Determination of tree quality is not a matter of precise mathematical solution since very few things in the biological field lend themselves to precise calculations. So we must combine the judgment factor with the mathematical solution. We do that when we call the Grade 5 tree the average of the locality and assign a regional selling value to it. As more experience is gained and additional lumber yield data obtained it will no doubt be possible to further localize tree quality differences by use of a different average selling value in the several localities of the region. For valuation purposes the lumber from Grade 5 trees is considered as 100 percent in relation to the value of lumber from other tree grades.

The following tabulation shows the relative value of each of the six tree grades in relation to the Grade 5, or average tree. For example, a Grade 1 tree produces lumber which on the average is 35 percent more valuable per thousand board feet than lumber from Grade 5 trees.

Grade	Relative value per thousand board feet
	ono abenins both a record
1 2 3 4	135 115 95 80
5	100
6	.70

There may be changes in the utilization of a species that will alter the foregoing percentage relationships. For instance, a market for sliced knotty ponderosa pine flitches might develop. These would come from the first two logs of Grade 3 trees and some of the tops of Grade 4 trees. Adjustment of the percentage relationship for these two tree grades could be made accordingly.

As experience in tree grading and making of lumber yield studies in the sawmill are acquired, it may be desirable to set up a separate grade recovery index for



FIG. & - I KLE GRADES FOR PONDERUSA FINE

GRADE NO.	AGE	LUMBER PRODUCTION	SIZE LIMITS	KEEN CLASS
(SELECT)	meture or overmature usually 300 years or older.	ordinarily 20 to 40% D&BTR. select with lesser amounts of shop lumber.	seldom under 20"d,b.h. except on poorest sites.	Invariably 3 and 4 rarely 1 or 2
2 (sнор)	meture or overmature Usually 300 years or older	ordinarily 25 to 40% of shop lumber all grades-with lesser am'ts of D&BTE.	rarely under26"d.b.h.	usually 4 sometimes
BULL PINE	immature-usually not over 120 years old.	yield 50 to 80% of #2 common lumber	seldom over 18"d.b.h.	usually rorely 2
(BULL PINE)	just going into maturity usually not over 250 years.	yield 50 to 80% 3 common & poorer including 3 shop and inch shop.	rarely under 18"d.bh. and seldon over 30" d.b.h.	usually 2 rorely lond 2
S. (MIXED) (GRADE)	usually mature and over 250 years.	yields mixture of gredes-3common4 poorer predominete-1&2 common comperatively rere.	seldom under 20" d.b.h. except on poorest sites	Usually 3 and 4
(INFERIOR)	usually mature or overmature but may be any age.	invariaby 75% 3 common and poorer- frequently all 4\$5 common.	any size	usually 3 and 4 but may be any class
		A reministration - Maintenant		



each tree grade. Current lumber prices could then be applied to these grade indexes. However, until such information is considered desirable and practicable, the foregoing "average tree" method of appraising quality is recommended.

In the use of average selling values adjustments because of diversion from the sawmill of certain lumber grades and veneer logs is frequently necessary.

APPLICATION OF TRUE GRADES

Data by tree grades can be taken at the time of a volume cruise but it is preferable to make a valuation survey at the time logging and other appraisal data are taken. Furthermore, after examination of the characteristics of the volume data, one is in a better position to determine a sound and adequate quality sample. Usually timber quality is recorded on 1/5-acre plots with a plot interval of 5 to 10 chains. Plot interval depends, of course, on the size of the area. On a regular shaped timber area of small volume, possibly a random line through the area would be better.

A sample case of application of the tree grades and determination of average selling value of the lumber sawn from the timber is herewith presented. The timber on Big Pine Creek contained a separate area of young growth hence it was given separate consideration for the quality appraisal. Table 1 presents data on old growth which constituted about 95 percent of the stand.

Table 1. Summary of quality of old-growth timber
Big Pine Croek Sale Area

Cruise based on	44 plots = 8.8 acros.	Included 120	trees or 647 logs
Tree Grade	: Net volume : on 8.8 acres : in cruise :	-	Percent volume in cach tree grade
1	78,940	8,970	41.8
• 2	3,800	430	2.0
. ` 3		code alea cole	
24	820	90	• <u>L</u>
5	93,920	10,660	49.7
6	11,510	1,310	6.1
Grand Total		21,460	100,0

Average tree - 1575 ft. net = 5.4 16-foot logs per tree Average log - 292 ft. net = 3.4 16-foot logs per M

Table 2. Summary of quality of young timber
Big Pine Creek Sale Area

Tree Grade	Volume per acreadjusted for5 percent defect	: Percent of volume in each tree grade
. 1	700	6.1
°2	0	•0
3	50	• 71
14	8,670	75.9
5	1,390	12,2
. 6	610	5.4
Total Basis 26 plot	11,420	100.0

Table 1 shows that 41.8 percent of the volume in this stand is in No. 1 trees. This is somewhat better than the average for ponderosa pine timber. However, there was only 2 percent of No. 2 or shop grade trees. Usually if there is a large volume of No. 1 tree grade there is a dearth of shop trees. There is no young bull pine or Grade 3 trees in the stand and only .4 percent of Grade 4 trees or older bull pines, 49.7 percent of Grade 5 trees, and 6.1 percent of Grade 6.

Similar data are shown in Table 2 for the young timber area of Big Pine Creek.

The next step in the application of tree grades is shown in Tables 3 and 4. The average selling price of ponderosa pine F.O.B. mill (all grades combined) can be determined from local sources or the Regional Forest Service index. Since the average selling value of lumber from Grade 5 trees is practically the same as the current average wholesale F.O.B. mill selling value of all ponderosa pine cut in the locality or region, the Grade 5 tree might be assigned the selling value of \$80 per M. The value per M board feet of the other tree grades are determined by multiplying \$80 by the percentage factors presented in column 1 of Tables 3 and 4.

These values are then weighted by the percentage of volume of each tree grade. For instance, Table 3 shows 41.8 percent of the old-growth volume in Big Pine

^{1/} Merely for example and not representative of solling value of today.

Creek to be in Grade 1 trees. Hence 41.8 percent times \$108 equals \$45.14, the weighted selling value per M feet, lumber tally of lumber produced from Grade 1 trees. Carrying out this same calculation for all tree grades an average selling value per M, lumber tally, of \$90.42 is obtained for the old-growth timber, and \$68.25 for lumber produced from the young-growth timber. This is quite a spread. Weighting these values by the percentage of old-growth and young growth contained in the Big Pine timber chance we obtained an average selling value per M feet of lumber produced from the entire stand. The calculation is as follows:

Percent old growth: .945 x \$90.42 = \$85.45

Percent young growth: .055 x 68.25 = 3.75

Weighted average selling value per M of lumber produced from the Big Pine chance = \$89.20 or \$9.20 over the current average price of ponderosa pine lumber.

The contract of the contract o

This selling value must then be worked back to the stumpage value by the customary appraisal methods. Any company using this system would naturally use its own production cost profit margin and overrun figures in its calculations.

Table 3. Computation of the selling value of lumber produced from old-growth timber on the Big Pine Creek Sale Area.

		mber on the Big Fine		rea.
		Selling value of		
	: Standard ratio :	lumber based on	: tree grade	
Tree		current average		
Grade	: selling value :	value of \$80 per.M	: from plot	:selling value
	(1),	(2)	(3)	(4) \$
1	135	108.00	41.8	45.14
2	115	92.00	2.0	1.84
3	95	76.00	Agent Assist Assist	das est lait
Ц	80	64.00	•4	• 26
5	100	80.001/	49.7	39.76
6	70	56.00	6.1	3.42
All	¥		100.0	20.42

^{1/} Par value

Table 4. Computation of the selling value of lumber purchased from young timber on the Big Pine Creek sale area.

: Standard ratio : Selling value of : Volume by : tree grades : tree grades : to average : current average : to be cut : Weighted Grade : selling value :value of \$80 per M : from plot :selling value (1)					* *
% % % 1 135 108.00 6.1 6.59 2 115 92.00 0 0 3 95 76.00 .4 .30 4 80 64.00 75.9 48.58 5 100 80.00 1 / 12.2 9.76 6 70 56.00 5.4 3.02		: to average	: lumber based on current average	: tree grades : to be cut	•
2 115 92.00 0 0 0 3 3 95 76.00 14 30 48.58 100 80.00 $\frac{1}{2}$ 12.2 9.76 6 70 56.00 5.4 3.02			, (2) \$		(4) \$
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	1	135	108.00	6.1	6.59
$\frac{1}{4}$ 80 $\frac{6}{4.00}$ $\frac{75.9}{12.2}$ $\frac{48.58}{48.58}$ 5 $\frac{1}{2.2}$ $\frac{9.76}{9.76}$ 6 $\frac{7}{2}$ $\frac{3.02}{9.76}$	2	115	92.00	. 0	Ο
5 100 $80.00^{1/}$ 12.2 9.76 6 70 56.00 5.4 3.02	3	95	76.00	• 4	.30
6 70 56.00 5.4 3.02	14	80	64.00	75.9	48.58
	5	100	80.001/	12.2	9.76
All 100.0 68.25	6	70	56.00	5.4	3.02
	All			100.0	68.25

^{1/} Par value

SOME ADVANTAGES AND DISADVANTAGES OF TREE GRADES

Naturally there are some disadvantages to the system. Also, there are some relative inaccuracies, but it appears that these are largely compensating. There is nothing in the biological world that lends itself to exact mathematical calculation and solution and the ponderosa pine forest is no exception. The greater we strive for accuracy the more involved our calculations could become until the system would fall down of its own weight and the appraiser could not see the woods for the trees.

Regarding inaccuracies, we know for instance that overrun varies with log and tree size. To apply a different correction factor for overrun to each tree grade would be a rather needless complication unless one were dealing with an unusually abnormal dispersion of tree size and form such as is rarely encountered. On the advantage side, the system is simple and can be mastered by anyone with a reasonable knowledge of ponderosa pine timber and the lumber produced therefrom and simple arithmetic. Even simple arithmetic is complicated. Many a college graduate is floored with a seventh grader's problem. Hence the foregoing application of tree grades to determine average selling value per M of lumber may seem a bit complicated, but it is comparatively simple to other methods that have been proposed.

SUMMARY

There are five steps in the method of determining selling value of lumber produced from ponderosa pine timber. They are as follows:

- 1. First determine the size and character of the sample by examination of the cruise data.
- 2. Determine percent of volume of different tree grades in each timber unit.
- 3. From local sources or regional price index determine the current average ponderosa pine lumber selling value per M board feet. This, then, is the par value of lumber from a Grade 5 true and is 100 percent of the selling value of lumber produced from the timber chance.
- 4. Apply standard percentage ratios to lumber selling value per M through all tree grades.
- 5. Apply percentages of each tree grade to selling values obtained from step 4. Summarize weight of selling value of each tree grade and you have the average selling value of lumber produced from the stand you have cruised.





